

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/489,601	01/20/2000		Evgeniy M. Getsin	IACTP016	6034
22242	7590 06/02/2005			EXAMINER	
		N AND FLANNE	KOENIG, A	KOENIG, ANDREW Y	
120 SOUTH SUITE 1600		ESIKEEI	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO,	IL 60603-	-3406	. 2611		

DATE MAILED: 06/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/489,601	GETSIN ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Andrew Y. Koenig	2611				
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a representation of the provision	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS froute, cause the application to become ABANDOI	timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status			•				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21	March 2005.					
		nis action is non-final.					
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	on of Claims						
4)🖾	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected.						
5)							
6)⊠							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •				
44	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		· ·				
11)[_]	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached Offic	ce Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority ι	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)[a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume		·				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr		ved in this National Stage				
* 0	application from the International Bure	` ''					
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not receive	/ea.				
Attachmen	(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar	ry (PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail I	Date				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/27/04, 1/27/05</u> .	6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 21 March 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Independent claims 1, 6, and 15 recite, "ascertaining whether the client apparatuses have the event stored in memory..." and "... upon ascertaining that the client apparatus has the predefined content stored" There is no support in the specification as originally filed of the ascertaining whether the client apparatuses have the event stored in memory.

Application/Control Number: 09/489,601

Art Unit: 2611

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Page 3

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. **Claims 1-15** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Peterson, U.S. Patent No. 5,825,876 (of record) in view of Faris et al. (Faris), U.S. Patent Publication No. US 2002/0026321 A1 and U.S. Patent 6,463,468 to Buch et al. (Buch)

Regarding **claims 1, 6 and 11**, Peterson discloses a method, corresponding computer program and corresponding system for identifying a plurality of events which are played back on a plurality of networked client apparatuses (col. 2, lines 26-41), comprising

(a) providing a plurality of events stored in memory (medium 10) on a plurality of client apparatuses (controller 14; see col. 2, lines 46-54 disclosing system methodology including multiple consumers, comprising multiple events and client apparatuses), an authorization granted message that includes a unique identifier (24) of the secured content which is stored, along with the start time (col. 8, II. 32-39) the events each having a unique identifier associated therewith and stored in memory (identifier 24; see col. 5,

lines 30-35), wherein the client apparatuses are adapted to be coupled to a host computer (authorization center **16**) via a network (PTSN **18**; see col. 8, lines 13-16);

- (b) ascertaining the identifier of the event stored in memory of the client apparatuses utilizing the network (col. 8, lines 18-27, col. 8, ll. 32-39, disclosing transmission of identifier 24 to server 60 and return of authorization granted message comprising identifier 24 of secured content 28);
- (c) comparing the authorization grant message (comprising the identifier) with an identifier of a scheduled event (col. 8, lines 23-26; col. 8, lines 41-47 describing the scheduled (premier) event time and date; see col. 8, line 66 col. 9, line 5 describing comparison of content 28 associated with identifier 24 to authorization list 56), wherein an identifier of a scheduled event is a time; and
- (d) beginning playback of the event on each of the client apparatuses if the comparison renders a match (col. 9, lines 18-21; see col. 2, lines 54-58, disclosing playback on or after premier event time).

Although Peterson discloses the period for playback beginning simultaneously (e.g., common premier time), Peterson fails to specifically disclose beginning playback of the event simultaneously.

However, Faris, in an analogous art, teaches simultaneously beginning the playback of an event, where an event may comprise stored audio-video

content and the execution of programs, on a plurality of client devices in response to trigger data transmitted to the client devices from a server (paragraph 137, describing purpose of GSU unit 175 in conjunction with client device 160 (see Figs. 1 and 2C) to perform actions in response to precise time conditions; paragraph 138, describing triggers to synchronize execution of audiovideo content and programming content on client devices, where triggers to execute content on a client device inherently discloses a comparison of an identifier; see paragraph 142, suggesting application to any task where precise triggering of timed events is required) for the benefit of providing synchronized presentation of content for each of the plurality of network connected devices.

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the playback of Peterson to incorporate beginning the playback of the event simultaneously, as taught by Faris, for the benefit of providing synchronized presentation of content for each of the plurality of network connected devices in a method for playing back events.

Peterson teaches storing the event beforehand, however Peterson is silent on ascertaining whether the client apparatuses have the event stored in memory. However, Faris teaches that the user machine downloads the contest software (pg. 6, para. 0069, see also fig. 4A, pg. 16, para. 0170). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Peterson to download the events as taught by

Faris in order to reduce latency and permit the event to be launched at the appropriate time.

Peterson and Faris are silent on ascertaining whether the client apparatuses have the event stored in memory. Buch teaches a step of ascertaining whether a download is complete (see fig. 11, step 1112, col. 12, II. 35-51), which reads on ascertaining whether the client apparatuses have the event stored in memory at the client device. Further, Peterson teaches that the download must be complete before continuing (col. 12, II. 35-51). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Peterson and Faris by ascertaining whether the client apparatuses have the event stored in memory as taught by Buch in order to provide complete content to the user.

Claims 2, 7, and 12 are encompassed by the teachings of Peterson in view of Faris (as discussed above). Specifically, Peterson discloses the event including a video and audio presentation (col. 2, lines 46-50).

Claims 3, 8, and 13 are encompassed by the teachings of Peterson in view of Faris (as discussed above). Specifically, Peterson discloses the event including a movie (col. 2, lines 46-50).

Application/Control Number: 09/489,601

Art Unit: 2611

Page 7

Claims 4, 9, and 14 are encompassed by the teachings of Peterson in view of Faris (as discussed above). Specifically, Peterson discloses a wide area network (PTSN 18, col. 8, lines 12-17).

Claims 5, 10, and 15 are encompassed by the teachings of Peterson in view of Faris (as discussed above). Specifically, Peterson discloses the memory including a digital video disk (col. 5, lines 24-27).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Y. Koenig whose telephone number is (703) 306-0399. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (7:30 - 6:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Grant can be reached on (703) 305-4755. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ayk